arXiv:quant-ph/0703030v1 4 Mar 2007

Abrupt termination of a quantum channel and exactly solvable position-dependent mass models in three dimensions

C. Quesne [*]

Physique Nucléaire Théorique et Physique Mathématique, Université Libre de Bruxelles,
Campus de la Plaine CP229, Boulevard du Triomphe, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

Abstract

We consider a particle with a position-dependent mass, moving in a three-dimensional semi-infinite parallelepipedal or cylindrical channel under the influence of some hyperbolic potential. We show that the lack of uniformity in the environment generates an infinite number of bound states.

PACS: 03.65.-w

Keywords: Schrödinger equation; Position-dependent mass; Quantum channels

---

[*] *E-mail address*: cquesne@ulb.ac.be

1 Introduction

Quantum mechanical systems with a position-dependent (effective) mass (PDM) have attracted a lot of attention and inspired intense research activites during recent years. They are indeed very useful in the study of many physical problems, such as electronic properties of semiconductors [1] and quantum dots [2], nuclei [3], quantum liquids [4], ^{3}He clusters [5], metal clusters [6], etc.

Looking for exact solutions of the Schrödinger equation with a PDM has become an interesting research topic because such solutions may provide a conceptual understanding of some physical phenomena, as well as a testing ground for some approximation schemes. Although mostly one-dimensional equations have been considered up to now, several works have recently paid attention to d-dimensional problems [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

In [9], we have presented a model depicting a particle moving in a two-dimensional semi-infinite layer, which may be of interest in the study of quantum wires with an abrupt termination in an environment that can be modelled by a dependence of the carrier effective mass on the position.

The purpose of the present Letter is to free ourselves from the restriction to two dimensions by proposing two novel, more realistic PDM models in three dimensions, which may describe an abrupt termination of a quantum channel. As we plan to show, these models have also the advantage of being exactly solvable.

2 PDM Schrödinger equations in three dimensions

Because of the non-commutativity of the momentum and PDM operators, it is well known that there is an ordering ambiguity in the kinetic energy operator T. To cope with it, we use here the von Roos form of T, containing three ambiguity parameters α, β, γ, constrained by the condition $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = -1$ [13]. Such a form has the advantage of containing all the proposals made in the literature as special cases, while having an inbuilt Hermiticity. Therefore the general form of the three-dimensional PDM Schrödinger equation reads

$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x})\partial_i M^{\beta}(\boldsymbol{x})\partial_i M^{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{x}) + M^{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{x})\partial_i M^{\beta}(\boldsymbol{x})\partial_i M^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x})\right] + V(\boldsymbol{x})\right\}\psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = E\psi(\boldsymbol{x}), \quad (1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{x} \equiv (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x, y, z)$, $\partial_i \equiv \partial/\partial x_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, $M(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the dimensionless form of the mass function $m(\boldsymbol{x}) = m_0 M(\boldsymbol{x})$, $V(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the potential and we have chosen units wherein $\hbar = 2m_0 = 1$.

We can get rid of the ambiguity parameters in the kinetic energy operator by transferring them to the effective potential energy $V_{\rm eff}(\boldsymbol{x})$ of the variable mass system [9, 14]. Equation (1) then acquires the form

$$H\psi(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv \left(-\partial_i \frac{1}{M(\boldsymbol{x})}\partial_i + V_{\rm eff}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)\psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = E\psi(\boldsymbol{x}), \tag{2}$$

where the explicit expression of $V_{\rm eff}(\boldsymbol{x})$ in terms of $V(\boldsymbol{x})$, $M(\boldsymbol{x})$, α and β is given by

$$V_{\rm eff}(\boldsymbol{x}) = V(\boldsymbol{x}) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta+1)\frac{\Delta M}{M^2} - [\alpha(\alpha+\beta+1)+\beta+1]\frac{(\partial_i M)(\partial_i M)}{M^3}. \tag{3}$$

Here we shall consider the case where the mass only depends on x and presents a solitonic profile

$$M(x) = \operatorname{sech}^2 qx, \tag{4}$$

which has proved useful in various contexts [9, 14, 15]. Equation (3) then reduces to

$$V_{\rm eff}(\boldsymbol{x}) = V(\boldsymbol{x}) - 2q^2[2\alpha(\alpha+\beta+1)+\beta+1]\cosh^2 qx + q^2[4\alpha(\alpha+\beta+1)+\beta+1]. \tag{5}$$

It may be interesting to observe that $V_{\rm eff}(\boldsymbol{x})$ coincides with $V(\boldsymbol{x})$ for the choice of ambiguity parameters $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = -1$, first proposed by BenDaniel and Duke [16] and sometimes advocated from first principles [17]. However, since plausible arguments have been put forward in favour of some other choices too (see, e.g., [18] for recent reviews in one dimension), we shall not impose here any constraint on the ambiguity parameters apart from that mentioned in the beginning.

3 PDM model in a semi-infinite parallelepipedal channel

To start with, let us consider an effective potential of the form

$$V_{\rm eff}(\boldsymbol{x}) = V_{{\rm eff},1}(x) + V_{{\rm eff},2}(y) + V_{{\rm eff},3}(z), \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{eff},1}(x) &= -q^2\cosh^2 qx + q^2 k(k-1)\,\text{csch}^2\, qx, && 0 < x < \infty, \\ &= +\infty, && -\infty < x < 0, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{eff},i}(x_i) &= 0, && -\frac{\pi}{2q} < x_i < \frac{\pi}{2q}, \\ &= +\infty, && x_i < -\frac{\pi}{2q} \text{ or } x_i > \frac{\pi}{2q}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for $i = 2$ and 3. In (7), k is assumed to be some positive constant. The Schrödinger equation (2) therefore amounts to

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[-\partial_x \cosh^2 qx \partial_x - \cosh^2 qx\left(\partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2\right) - q^2\cosh^2 qx + q^2k(k-1)\,\text{csch}^2\, qx\right]\psi(x,y,z) \\ &\quad = E\psi(x,y,z) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

on the semi-infinite parallelepipedal domain $0 < x < \infty$, $-\pi/(2q) < y, z < \pi/(2q)$, with the Dirichlet boundary conditions $\psi(0,y,z) = 0$, $\psi(x, \pm\pi/(2q), z) = 0$, $\psi(x,y,\pm\pi/(2q)) = 0$.

The corresponding Hamiltonian H commutes with the operators $L = -\partial_y^2$ and $M = -\partial_z^2$. Their simultaneous normalizable eigenfunctions $\psi_{n,l,m}(x,y,z)$, fulfilling the boundary conditions, can be obtained by separation of variable in (9) and are given by

$$\psi_{n,l,m}(x,y,z) = \phi_{n,l,m}(x)\chi_l(y)\zeta_m(z), \tag{10}$$

where

$$\chi_l(y) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2q}{\pi}}\cos[(l+1)qy] & \text{for } l = 0, 2, 4, \ldots, \\ \sqrt{\frac{2q}{\pi}}\sin[(l+1)qy] & \text{for } l = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

$\zeta_m(z)$ assumes a similar form with m and z substituted for l and y, respectively, and [19]

$$\phi_{n,l,m}(x) = \mathcal{N}_{n,l,m}(\tanh qx)^k(\text{sech}\, qx)^{1+\delta}P_n^{(k-1/2,\delta)}(1 - 2\tanh^2 qx), \tag{12}$$

with $P_n^{(k-1/2,\delta)}(1 - 2\tanh^2 qx)$ denoting a Jacobi polynomial,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \sqrt{(l+1)^2 + (m+1)^2}, && (13) \\ \mathcal{N}_{n,l,m} &= \left(\frac{2q\left(2n + k + \frac{1}{2} + \delta\right) n!\,\Gamma\left(n + k + \frac{1}{2} + \delta\right)}{\Gamma(n+1+\delta)\Gamma\left(n + k + \frac{1}{2}\right)}\right)^{1/2}. && (14) \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the last functions $\phi_{n,l,m}(x)$ can be derived either directly or from the known wavefunctions $\xi_n^{(\kappa,\lambda)}(z)$ of the constant-mass two-parameter trigonometric Pöschl-Teller potential $\kappa(\kappa-1)\csc^2 z + \lambda(\lambda-1)\sec^2 z$, $0 < z < \pi/2$, via changes of variable $\exp(qx) = \tan[(1/2)(z+\pi/2)]$ and of function $\phi_{n,l,m}[x(z)] = \sqrt{\cos z}\, \xi_n^{(\kappa,\lambda)}(z)$.

The simultaneous eigenvalues of L, M and H are $(l+1)^2 q^2$, $(m+1)^2 q^2$ and

$$E_{n,l,m} = q^2(2n+1+\delta)(2n+2k+\delta), \tag{15}$$

where n, l, $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. The energy spectrum is therefore quadratic in n, with some twofold degeneracies connected with the (l,m) exchange, i.e., $E_{n,l,m} = E_{n,m,l}$ for $l \neq m$, as well as with some 'accidental' degeneracies (i.e., degeneracies not related to any symmetry), such as $E_{n,1,8} = E_{n,5,6}$ corresponding to $\delta = \sqrt{85}$. Note that the number of bound states is infinite.

3.1 PDM model in a semi-infinite cylindrical channel

Instead of (6), let us take

$$V_{\text{eff}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = V_{\text{eff},1}(x) + V_{\text{eff},23}(\rho), \tag{16}$$

where $V_{\text{eff},1}(x)$ is still given by (7), while

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{eff},23}(\rho) &= 0, && 0 \le \rho < R, \\ &= +\infty, && \rho > R, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

with $\rho = \sqrt{y^2+z^2}$. The Schrödinger equation now reads

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[-\partial_x \cosh^2 qx \partial_x - \cosh^2 qx \left(\partial_\rho^2 + \frac{1}{\rho}\partial_\rho + \frac{1}{\rho^2}\partial_\varphi^2\right) - q^2\cosh^2 qx + q^2 k(k-1)\operatorname{csch}^2 qx\right] \\ &\quad \times \psi(x,\rho,\varphi) = E\psi(x,\rho,\varphi) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

on the semi-infinite cylindrical domain $0 < x < \infty$, $0 \le \rho < R$, $0 \le \varphi < 2\pi$, with the new boundary conditions $\psi(0,\rho,\varphi) = 0$, $\psi(x,R,\varphi) = 0$, $\psi(x,\rho,2\pi) = \psi(x,\rho,0)$.

The two operators commuting with H are now $L = -(\partial_\rho^2 + \rho^{-1}\partial_\rho + \rho^{-2}\partial_\varphi^2)$ and $M = -\mathrm{i}\partial_\varphi$. The simultaneous normalizable eigenfunctions of H, L and M can be written as

$$\psi_{n,m,s}(x,\rho,\varphi) = \phi_{n,|m|,s}(x)\chi_{|m|,s}(\rho)\zeta_m(\varphi). \tag{19}$$

Here

$$\zeta_m(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\mathrm{i}m\varphi} \tag{20}$$

corresponds to the eigenvalues $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ of M. Furthermore,

$$\chi_{|m|,s}(\rho) = \mathcal{N}_{|m|,s} J_{|m|}(\kappa_{|m|,s}\rho), \qquad \kappa_{|m|,s} = \frac{j_{|m|,s}}{R}, \tag{21}$$

where $J_{|m|}(z)$ is a Bessel function, the symbol $j_{|m|,s}$, $s = 1, 2, \ldots$, conventionally denotes [20] its real, positive zeros, and [19]

$$\mathcal{N}_{|m|,s} = \sqrt{2} \left[R J_{|m|+1}(j_{|m|,s}) \right]^{-1}, \tag{22}$$

provides normalized solutions to the eigenvalue equation

$$L \chi_{|m|,s}(\rho) \zeta_m(\varphi) = \kappa^2_{|m|,s} \chi_{|m|,s}(\rho) \zeta_m(\varphi), \tag{23}$$

which satisfy the second boundary condition. Finally, $\phi_{n,|m|,s}(x)$ and the energy eigenvalues $E_{n,|m|,s}$ are still given by the right-hand sides of Eqs. (12) and (15), but with δ now defined by

$$\delta = \frac{\kappa_{|m|,s}}{q} = \frac{j_{|m|,s}}{qR}. \tag{24}$$

This time the only degeneracy of the energy spectrum is that connected with the sign of m.

4 Conclusion

In this Letter, we considered a particle with a PDM, moving in two different three-dimensional quantum channels with an abrupt termination under the influence of some hyperbolic potential. We showed that the lack of uniformity in the environment generates bound states, actually an infinite number of them. This interesting physical property may be compared with a similar phenomenon ocurring in quantum wires in the presence of a dot or a bend [21].

References

[1] G. Bastard, Wave Mechanics Applied to Semiconductor Heterostructures, Editions de Physique, Les Ulis, 1988.

[2] L. Serra, E. Lipparini, Europhys. Lett. 40 (1997) 667.

[3] P. Ring, P. Schuck, The Nuclear Many Body Problem, Springer, New York, 1980.

[4] F. Arias de Saavedra, J. Boronat, A. Polls, A. Fabrocini, Phys. Rev. B 50 (1994) 4248.

[5] M. Barranco, M. Pi, S.M. Gatica, E.S. Hernández, J. Navarro, Phys. Rev. B 56 (1997) 8997.

[6] A. Puente, Ll. Serra, M. Casas, Z. Phys. D 31 (1994) 283.

[7] G. Chen, Z. Chen, Phys. Lett. A 331 (2004) 312.

[8] S.-H. Dong, M. Lozada-Cassou, Phys. Lett. A 337 (2005) 313.

[9] C. Quesne, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 321 (2006) 1221.

[10] O. Mustafa, S.H. Mazharimousavi, J. Phys. A 39 (2006) 10537;
O. Mustafa, S.H. Mazharimousavi, Phys. Lett. A 358 (2006) 259.

[11] G.-X. Ju, Y. Xiang, Z.-Z. Ren, The localization of s-wave and quantum effective potential of a quasi-free particle with position-dependent mass, quant-ph/0601005.

[12] B. Gönül, M. Koçak, J. Math. Phys. 47 (2006) 102101.

[13] O. von Roos, Phys. Rev. B 27 (1983) 7547.

[14] B. Bagchi, P. Gorain, C. Quesne, R. Roychoudhury, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 19 (2004) 2765.

[15] B. Bagchi, P. Gorain, C. Quesne, R. Roychoudhury, Europhys. Lett. 72 (2005) 155.

[16] D.J. BenDaniel, C.B. Duke, Phys. Rev. B 152 (1966) 683.

[17] J.-M. Lévy-Leblond, Phys. Rev. A 52 (1995) 1845.

[18] A. Ganguly, M.V. Ioffe, L.M. Nieto, J. Phys. A 39 (2006) 14659;
A. Ganguly, Ş. Kuru, J. Negro, L.M. Nieto, Phys. Lett. A 360 (2006) 228.

[19] I.S. Gradshteyn, I.M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

[20] M. Abramowitz, I.A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dover, New York, 1965.

[21] O. Olendski, L. Mikhailovska, Phys. Rev. B 66 (2002) 035331;
V. Gudmundsson, C.-S. Tang, A. Manolescu, Phys. Rev. B 72 (2005) 153306.